IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,

NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

L.P.A.No.22 of 2007 in

W.P.No.1478/1996 (Decided)

-=-

Office Notes, Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions : Court's or Judge's orders and Registrar's orders.

-=-

CORAM **:** D.D.Sinha and
A.B.Chaudhari, JJ.

DATE **:** February 26, 2007.

Heard Mr. M.R.Pillai, Counsel for the Appellants.

This Letters Patent Appeal is directed against the order of the learned Single Judge dt. 12.9.2006. We have considered the contentions canvassed by the learned counsel Perused the impugned order. Perusal of the impugned order shows that the order of termination dt. 1.4.1993 is passed on the ground that the Education Officer rejected the approval of the employee in question. However, it is not in dispute that, on that day, no such order passed by the Education Officer was in existence and therefore, the learned Single Judge, in our view, was justified in concluding that the order of termination cannot be sustained in law. Consequently, no case is made out for showing indulgence. Hence, the Letters Patent Appeal is

dismissed.

JUDGE JUDGE

ssj